





WUT-AMU Internship Master 2 Evaluation Procedure

(Master Microbiology and Master Biology Health)

I. Report: 30% of total score

- Composition:
 - O Abstract: 250 to 350 words maximum
 - Introduction
 - o Material and Methods
 - o Results/Discussion
 - o Conclusions/Perspectives
 - o Bibliography
 - o Appendices
- Pages:
 - o 20 maximum
 - o Including figures (au verso)
 - Excluding references and appendices
- Police/interlining:
 - o Times New Roman 12
 - 0 1.5
- Language:
 - o Master Biology Health: English
 - o Master Microbiology: English or French
- Report delivery date:
 - o Two weeks before the defense date
 - Electronic format (pdf or word)

II. Oral presentation: 70% od totale score

- PPT (power point) presentation : 10 minutes
 - o Composition:
 - Scientific Background
 - Objective(s)
 - Material and Methods
 - Results
 - Conclusions & Perspectives
- Questions : 10 minutes
- Jury members:
 - o A reviewer who evaluate the student report and oral presentation
 - o An examiner who evaluate the oral presentation







• Langue:

Master Biology Health : English Master Microbiology : English

- <u>Date de defense</u>: Determined by the heads of WUT-AMU Masters in Microbiology and Biology Health (The dates cannot be the same for both masters). The defense must take place before 31 December of the year of Master 2.
- <u>Defense preparation</u>: A preparation for the oral defense will be proposed to the students (one to two weeks before the defense). This preparation consists of a pre-visualization of the students' PPT presentation by the WUT and AMU teaching teams, in English or in French (criteria defined by the head of the master upstream).
- <u>Internship evaluation form</u>: An evaluation form will be sent to the student internship directors at the end of the internship to assess the student's behaviour and involvement during the research internship. This document will allow you to adjust the student's final score if necessary.







INTERNSHIP EVALUATION FORM

1- Identification

SUPERVISOR: NAME, First name:					
Research Institute / Unit:					
Supervisor email address:					
Internship Title:					
2- Evaluation					
A- Social integration and compliance with	laborate	ory value	<u>es</u>		
Choose the appropriate answer:					
	Very				Not
	good	Good	Average	Inadequate	applicable
Integration and interaction with the team					
Scientific / work communication skills					
Compliance with Health and Safety					
Regulation					
Diligence / Attitude / Punctuality					
B- Scientific and technique knowledge					
Choose the appropriate answer:					
	Very				Not
	good	Good	Average	Inadequate	applicable
Analysis and understanding abilities					
Knowledge application					
Learning abilities					
Work quality / goal fulfillment					
Independence / initiative / open mindedness					
mindedness					
C- Report writing					
Choose the appropriate answer:	Very				Not
enouse the appropriate answer.	good	Good	Average	Inadequate	
Discussion and exchange during writing					
Correction / abilities to take comments					
into account			Ц		
D- General comment about the internship					
			Date and s	ignature (or n	ame)